

On the Classification of K3 Surfaces with Nine Cusps

W. Barth

February 1, 2008

Mathematisches Institut der Universität
Bismarckstr. 1 1/2, D 91054 Erlangen

Abstract

By a $K3$ -surface with nine cusps I mean a compact complex surface with nine isolated double points A_2 , but otherwise smooth, such that its minimal desingularisation is a $K3$ -surface. In an earlier paper I showed that each such surface is a quotient of a complex torus by a cyclic group of order three. Here I try to classify these $K3$ -surfaces, using the period map for complex tori. In particular I show:

A $K3$ -surface with nine cusps carries polarizations only of degrees 0 or 2 modulo 6. This implies in particular that there is no quartic surface in projective three-space with nine cusps. (T. Urabe pointed out to me how to deduce this from Nikulin's Thm. 1.12.2 in [N2].)

In an appendix I give explicit equations of quartic surfaces in three-space with eight cusps.

MSC (1991): 14J28, 14J15

Contents

0	Introduction	2
1	Topology	3
1.1	The action on $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$	3
1.2	The action on $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigwedge^2 H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$	5
1.3	The maps q_* and q^*	6
2	Moduli	8
2.1	The period on A	8
2.2	Polarizations on X	10
2.3	Polarizations on A	11
2.4	The classification problem	11
3	Appendix: Quartic surfaces with eight cusps	13
4	References	19

0 Introduction

In [B] it was shown that each compact complex surface with nine cusps (A_2 -type double points), but no further singularities, such that its minimal desingularization is a $K3$ -surface, arises as a $3 : 1$ quotient of a complex torus. It was claimed there, that there are nonalgebraic surfaces of this kind, and it was suggested that the examples of [BL] are the only algebraic surfaces of this type: double covers of the plane, branched over the dual sextic to a smooth cubic curve.

The aim of this note is to prove the first claim, and to show that there are lots of other examples than those in [BL]. In fact, the author was informed by P. Vanhaecke of his joint note [BV] with J. Bertin, where sextic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}_4$ are constructed, complete intersections of a quartic and a cubic hypersurface, which have nine cusps. They are $3 : 1$ quotients of Jacobians of genus-2 curves with an automorphism of order three.

It is not an accident, that the surfaces of [BL] carry a polarization of degree two, while the surfaces of [BV] have a polarization of degree six. It is shown below, that only polarizations of degrees 0 or 2 modulo 6 appear on algebraic $K3$ -surfaces with nine cusps. This has the following consequence (T. Urabe pointed out to me that it is also a consequence of Nikulin's theorem 1.12.2 in [N2], although it seems not to have been noted before explicitly):

There is no quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}_3$ with nine cusps, and no further singularities (or with other isolated rational double points only).

This leads to the following obvious question: Are there quartic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}_3$ with eight cusps? The existence follows indeed from Urabe's partial classification of quartic surfaces with simple singularities [U, thm. 0.2] But it seems quite hard to write down their equations directly. Fortunately [BV] describe their sextic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}_4$ with nine cusps quite explicitly. So it is fairly easy to project them from one of their cusps into $\mathbb{P}_3$. There probably is some general reason for the fact, that none of the projections degenerates. They all have eight cusps and no further singularities. However I check this by simple, but tedious direct computation (see the appendix).

I use the period (= holomorphic 2-form) of the covering two-dimensional torus to classify, at least to some extent, complex two-dimensional tori admitting a cyclic symmetry group of order three such that the quotient is a $K3$ -surface with nine cusps. The period domain Ω is an open dense subset in a smooth quadric of dimension two, in particular it is connected. The moduli space for pairs (A, t) with A a complex torus of dimension two and t an automorphism of order three as above, is a quotient of Ω by an infinite arithmetic group. The general surface A of this type is not algebraic.

Fixing a polarization α on A reduces the period domain to a curve $\Omega_\alpha \subset \Omega$. Funny enough this curve consists of two disjoint copies of the upper half-plane, interchanged by conjugation of the complex structure on A . Here I mean by a polarization a fixed divisor class $\alpha \in H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\alpha^2 > 0$. Of course there are infinitely many different classes α , which are equivalent under the group $SL(4, \mathbb{Z})$. To induce a polarization on the quotient $X = A/t$, the class α has at least to be t -invariant. And the group identifying isomorphic triplets A, t, α is the subgroup $G \subset SL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ of elements commuting with t . Unfortunately it seems quite difficult to classify G -orbits on the set of classes α of fixed square α^2 . At least it is a problem which I cannot solve.

Finally I give an example of algebraic tori A with automorphism t which are simple, i.e., not isogenous to a product of elliptic curves.

Just as the note [B] was essentially parallel to the first pages of Nikulin's paper [N1], which treats the natural involution $a \mapsto -a$, the basic method here is parallel to Remark 2 in section

1 of [N1]. Using the discriminant of its quadratic form we identify the orthogonal complement in the $K3$ -lattice of the sub-lattice I spanned by the 18 classes of the rational curves resolving the nine cusps.

1 Topology

1.1 The action on $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$

In this section let $A = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ be a complex torus of dimension two. Let $t : A \rightarrow A$ be an automorphism of order three having the origin as an isolated fixed point. Assume also that $t^*(\alpha) = \alpha$, where α is the holomorphic 2-form induced by the constant form $dz_1 \wedge dz_2$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$.

t induces a linear automorphism $\tilde{t} : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ on the universal covering, with determinant = 1. Since the origin is an isolated fixed point, it has no eigenvalue = 1. So it must have the two eigenvalues $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}, \omega^2$.

In this section I want to identify the action of t on the homology $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$, or what is the same, the action of $\tilde{t}$ on the lattice $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}^4 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

As there is no t -invariant real line in $\mathbb{C}^2$, nor a t -invariant real subvector space of dimension three, there is no invariant sub-lattice in $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ of rank one or three. But the t -orbit of each period $\gamma \in \Gamma$ spans an invariant sub-lattice of rank ≤ 3 . This implies that each orbit $0 \neq \gamma, t(\gamma), t^2(\gamma)$ spans a sub-lattice of rank two.

Consider some primitive vector $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and denote the primitive vector $t(\alpha)$ by β . Then

$$t(\beta) = p \cdot \alpha + q \cdot \beta \quad \text{with} \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ 1 & q \end{pmatrix}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} pq & p(p+q^2) \\ p+q^2 & q(2p+q^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $pq = 1$ and $-p = q^2 = 1/p^2$ imply $p = q = -1$. So the action of t on the invariant sub-lattice generated by α and β is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition: *There is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the lattice $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$*

$$\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2,$$

in which the action of t is

$$t(\alpha_1) = \beta_1, \quad t(\beta_1) = -\alpha_1 - \beta_1, \quad t(\alpha_2) = \beta_2, \quad t(\beta_2) = -\alpha_2 - \beta_2.$$

Proof. Consider $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ as a sub-lattice of the real vector space $H_1(A, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$ and choose on this vector space some t -invariant inner product $(-, -)$. Let $\alpha_1 \in H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ be some

lattice vector of smallest length $\|\alpha_1\| = \sqrt{(\alpha_1, \alpha_1)} \neq 0$ and put $\beta_1 := t(\alpha_1)$. On the plane spanned by α_1 and β_1 the automorphism t is an isometry of order three. This implies

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = -\frac{1}{2} \|\alpha_1\|^2.$$

If the vectors α_1 and β_1 would not span a primitive sub-lattice of $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$, there would be some nonzero lattice vector $\gamma = u\alpha_1 + v\beta_1 \in H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ with $0 \leq u, v < 1$. But such a vector would have squared length

$$(u^2 + v^2) \|\alpha_1\|^2 + 2uv \cdot (\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (u^2 + v^2 - uv) \|\alpha_1\|^2.$$

Either $u^2 \leq uv$ or $v^2 \leq uv$, hence

$$u^2 + v^2 - uv \leq \max\{u^2, v^2\} < 1.$$

Such a vector $\gamma \neq 0$ of length $< \|\alpha_1\|$ cannot exist. So α_1 and β_1 indeed span a primitive sub-lattice in $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$.

Now choose a lattice vector $\alpha_2 \in H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ of smallest distance $\neq 0$ from the subvector space generated by α_1 and β_1 in $H_1(A, \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta_2 = t(\alpha_2)$. Exactly the same argument shows that the residues $\bar{\alpha}_2$ and $\bar{\beta}_2$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the quotient lattice $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z} \cdot \alpha_1 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \beta_1)$. So $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$. $\square$

With respect to a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis as in the proposition the action of t is given by the matrix

$$T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a sub-lattice of $\mathbb{C}^2$ the lattice Γ carries a natural orientation.

Proposition: *Each basis $\alpha_1, t(\alpha_1), \alpha_2, t(\alpha_2)$ as above is negatively oriented with respect to the natural orientation on Γ .*

Proof. As a complex linear map, t has the two eigenvalues ω and ω^2 . Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ be a complex basis of eigenvectors, so $t(c_1) = \omega c_1$ and $t(c_2) = \omega^2 c_2$. Clearly c_1 and ωc_1 represent the natural orientation of the complex line containing these two vectors, while c_2 and $\omega^2 c_2$ represent the opposite of the natural orientation of their line. This shows:

There is some real basis $\alpha_1 = c_1, t(\alpha_1), \alpha_2 = c_2, t(\alpha_2)$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ representing the opposite of the natural orientation. The assertion follows, if we prove the next

Lemma: *All $\mathbb{R}$ -bases of the form $\alpha_1, t(\alpha_1), \alpha_2, t(\alpha_2)$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ represent the same orientation.*

Proof. Let us change from the basis $\alpha_1, \beta_1 = t(\alpha_1), \alpha_2, \beta_2 = t(\alpha_2)$ to another $\mathbb{R}$ -basis $\alpha'_1, \beta'_1 = t(\alpha'_1), \alpha'_2, \beta'_2 = t(\alpha'_2)$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$.

a) If α'_1 and β'_1 span the same real plane as α_1 and β_1 , and if α'_2 and β'_2 span the same real plane as α_2 and β_2 , then the pairs α'_i and β'_i are obtained from α_i and β_i , $i = 1, 2$ in their respective planes by orientation preserving rotations. So $\alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \alpha'_2, \beta'_2$ represent the same orientation as $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$.

b) If $\mathbb{R}\alpha'_1 + \mathbb{R}\beta'_1 = \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 + \mathbb{R}\beta_1$, but $\mathbb{R}\alpha'_2 + \mathbb{R}\beta'_2 \neq \mathbb{R}\alpha_2 + \mathbb{R}\beta_2$, then, by a), $\alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \alpha'_2, \beta'_2$ has the same orientation as $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha'_2, \beta'_2$. Write

$$\alpha'_2 = a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\beta_1 + a_3\alpha_2 + a_4\beta_2.$$

Then

$$\beta'_2 = -a_2\alpha_1 + (a_1 - a_2)\beta_1 - a_4\alpha_2 + (a_3 - a_4)\beta_2$$

and

$$\det(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha'_2, \beta'_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 1 & a_2 & a_1 - a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & -a_4 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & a_3 - a_4 \end{pmatrix} = a_3^2 + a_4^2 - a_3a_4 \geq 0.$$

Again the orientation of $\alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \alpha'_2, \beta'_2$ is the same as the one of $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$.

c) If $\mathbb{R}\alpha'_i + \mathbb{R}\beta'_i \neq \mathbb{R}\alpha_j + \mathbb{R}\beta_j$ for $i, j = 1, 2$, then by b) the orientation does not change, if we pass from $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ to $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha'_2, \beta'_2$, and if we pass from $\alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha'_2, \beta'_2$ to $\alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \alpha'_2, \beta'_2$. $\square$

1.2 The action on $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z}) = \wedge^2 H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$

On the exterior products of the basis vectors from the last section the automorphism t acts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 &\mapsto \beta_1 \wedge (-\alpha_1 - \beta_1) = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 &\mapsto \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 &\mapsto \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 &\mapsto -\beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 - \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \\ \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 &\mapsto -\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 - \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \\ \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 &\mapsto \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 + \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using this table one finds the following t -invariant classes in $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &:= -\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1, \\ \gamma_2 &:= \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2, \\ \gamma_3 &:= \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 - \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \\ \gamma_4 &:= \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 + \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2. \end{aligned}$$

The wedge product induces on $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ an integral, unimodular quadratic form

$$(\alpha, \alpha') := \frac{\alpha \wedge \alpha'}{\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2}$$

with discriminant -1 . (Recall from the last section that $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2$ represents the natural orientation.) The matrix (γ_i, γ_j) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with determinant -3 . This shows that the invariant classes $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_4$ span a primitive sub-lattice $L_A \subset H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ of rank four.

Proposition: *The lattice L_A is the sublattice $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})^{inv} \subset H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ of all t -invariant classes.*

Proof. As the sub-lattice $L_A \subset H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive, it suffices to show that the invariant subspace $H_2(A, \mathbb{R})^{inv} \subset H_2(A, \mathbb{R})$ has dimension at most four. But from the table above, exhibiting the action of t on the exterior products of the α_i and β_j one reads off that the action of t on $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ has trace = 3. So $H_2(A, \mathbb{R})^{inv}$ is a proper subspace of $H_2(A, \mathbb{R})$. Its dimension cannot be five, since then there would be a t -invariant one-dimensional complement, and all of $H_2(A, \mathbb{R})$ would be t -invariant. So its dimension is at most four. $\square$

The dual lattice $\check{L}_A \subset H_2(A, \mathbb{R})$ consists of all classes $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \cdot L_A \subset H_2(A, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \in L_A.$$

Proposition: *The dual lattice $\check{L}_A$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis consisting of*

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \frac{1}{3}(\gamma_3 + \gamma_4).$$

Proof. Let

$$\gamma = c_1\gamma_1 + \dots + c_4\gamma_4 \in \mathbb{R} \cdot L_A, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma, \gamma_1) &= c_2, & (\gamma, \gamma_2) &= c_1, \\ (\gamma, \gamma_3) &= 2c_3 + c_4, & (\gamma, \gamma_4) &= c_3 + 2c_4. \end{aligned}$$

So $\gamma \in \check{L}_A$ if and only if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if

$$2c_3 + c_4 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad c_3 + 2c_4 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

But the latter is equivalent with

$$c_3 - c_4 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 3(c_3 + c_4) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$\square$

In the basis $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, (\gamma_3 + \gamma_4)/3$ the quadratic form has the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the discriminant $-1/3$.

1.3 The maps q_* and q^*

As in [B], let $q : A \rightarrow A/t = X$ be the quotient map. Let $\tilde{A}$ be the blow-up of A in the nine fixed points of t and $\tilde{X}$ the minimal desingularization of X . One has $H_2(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^9 \perp H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}^9$ spanned by the classes of the nine exceptional curves.

As in [B] denote by $I \subset H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ the lattice spanned by the eighteen (-1) -curves resolving the nine cusps on X . It is a lattice of rank 18 and discriminant 3^9 . In [B] it was shown that it is

contained in a primitive sublattice $\bar{I} \subset H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ with the quotient $\bar{I}/I$ being a group of order 3^3 . This implies that the discriminant $d(\bar{I})$ of the quadratic form on $\bar{I}$ is $3^9/(3^3)^2 = 3^3$.

The orthogonal complement $L_X := I^\perp = \bar{I}^\perp \subset H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ is a lattice of rank $22 - 18 = 4$. Since $H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ is unimodular with discriminant -1 the discriminant of L_X is

$$d(L_X) = -d(\bar{I}) = -3^3.$$

The induced map $\tilde{q}_*$ clearly maps $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ into L_X .

We identify

$$H_2(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{Z}), \quad H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$$

via Poincare-duality. Then we get a morphism

$$\tilde{q}^* : H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

It satisfies

$$(\tilde{q}^* \xi, \tilde{q}^* \xi') = 3 \cdot (\xi, \xi') \text{ for all } \xi, \xi' \in H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

and the projection formula

$$(\tilde{q}_* \alpha, \xi) = (\alpha, \tilde{q}^* \xi) \text{ for all } \alpha \in H_2(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{Z}), \xi \in H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proposition: *The map $\tilde{q}_* : H_2(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\check{L}_A(3) \rightarrow L_X$$

and the map $\tilde{q}^ : H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{Z})$ induces an isomorphism*

$$L_X(3) \rightarrow 3 \cdot \check{L}_A.$$

Proof. Since all classes $q^*(\xi)$, $\xi \in H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$, are t -invariant, $\tilde{q}^*(L_X)$ is a sub-lattice of L_A . The endomorphism $\tilde{q}_* \tilde{q}^* : L_X \rightarrow L_X$ has the property

$$\tilde{q}_* \tilde{q}^*(\xi) = 3\xi$$

for all $\xi \in L_X$. This implies that the maps $\tilde{q}^* : L_X \rightarrow L_A$ and $\tilde{q}_* : L_A \rightarrow L_X$ are injective. So $q_*(L_A) \subset L_X$ is a sublattice of rank four, the rank of L_A , and $\tilde{q}^*(L_X)$ spans L_A over $\mathbb{Q}$.

For all $\xi, \xi' \in L_X$ we have

$$(\tilde{q}^* \xi, \tilde{q}^* \xi') = 3(\xi, \xi') \text{ and } (\tilde{q}_* \tilde{q}^* \xi, \tilde{q}_* \tilde{q}^* \xi') = (3\xi, 3\xi') = 3(\tilde{q}^* \xi, \tilde{q}^* \xi').$$

This implies

$$(\tilde{q}_* \alpha, \tilde{q}_* \alpha') = 3(\alpha, \alpha') \text{ for all } \alpha, \alpha' \in L_A.$$

If $\alpha \in L_A^\perp \subset H_2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H_2(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{Z})$, then $q_*(\alpha) \in L_X$ with

$$(\xi, q_*(\alpha)) = (q^*(\xi), \alpha) = 0$$

for all $\xi \in L_X$. This implies $\tilde{q}_*(\alpha) = 0$.

And conversely, if $\tilde{q}_*(\alpha) = 0$, then $(\tilde{q}^* \xi, \alpha) = (\xi, \tilde{q}_*(\alpha)) = 0$ for all $\xi \in L_X$. So $\alpha \in L_A^\perp$. Hence $\tilde{q}_*$ defines an injective map

$$\tilde{q}_* : H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})/L_A^\perp \simeq \check{L}_A \rightarrow L_X.$$

The discriminant of the image lattice is

$$3^4 \cdot d(\check{L}_A) = -3^3 = d(L_X).$$

This shows $\tilde{q}_*(\check{L}_A) = L_X$. □

Corollary: *The lattice L_X has an integral basis, in which its quadratic form has the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2 Moduli

2.1 The period on A

A complex structure on the real four-dimensional torus $A = H_1(A, \mathbb{R})/H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by two complex coordinates z_1, z_2 . These are $\mathbb{R}$ -linear maps $z_k : H_1(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ inducing an $\mathbb{R}$ -isomorphism $(z_1, z_2) : H_1(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$. This complex structure determines a *period* $\omega = z_1 \wedge z_2 \in H^2(A, \mathbb{C})$. ω is uniquely determined by the complex structure up to multiplication by complex scalars. This period satisfies the following two *period relations*

$$(i) \quad \omega \wedge \omega = 0, \quad (ii) \quad \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} > 0.$$

Relation (i) is obvious. Relation (ii) means the following: Write $z_k = x_k + iy_k$ with $\mathbb{R}$ -linear maps $x_k, y_k : H_1(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} &= (x_1 + iy_1) \wedge (x_2 + iy_2) \wedge (x_1 - iy_1) \wedge (x_2 - iy_2) \\ &= -(x_1 + iy_1) \wedge (x_1 - iy_1) \wedge (x_2 + iy_2) \wedge (x_2 - iy_2) \\ &= -[-2i \cdot (x_1 \wedge y_1) \wedge (-2i) \cdot (x_2 \wedge y_2)] \\ &= 4 \cdot x_1 \wedge y_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge y_2 \end{aligned}$$

is a positive multiple of the form $x_1 \wedge y_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge y_2$ defining the orientation by the complex structure.

There is also the converse:

Given a class $\omega \in H^2(A, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying the period relations (i) and (ii), there is a unique complex structure on A belonging to this form.

Proof. Since $\omega \wedge \omega = 0$ by (i), the form $\omega \in \Lambda^2 H^1(A, \mathbb{C})$ decomposes, say $\omega = z_1 \wedge z_2$ with $z_1, z_2 \in H^1(A, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(H_1(A, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C})$. These functions z_1 and z_2 are uniquely determined by ω up to complex linear combination. Write $z_k = x_k + iy_k$ as above. Then (ii) implies $x_1 \wedge y_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge y_2 \neq 0$ and the map $(z_1, z_2) : H_1(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is bijective, i.e., it defines a complex structure on A . □

Proposition. *If $t^*\omega = \omega$, then the map $t : A \rightarrow A$ is $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.*

Proof. By assumption

$$t^*(z_1) \wedge t^*(z_2) = t^*(\omega) = \omega = z_1 \wedge z_2.$$

So $t^*(z_1)$ and $t^*(z_2)$ are complex linear combinations of z_1 and z_2 . □

Corollary. *Isomorphism classes (A, t) of complex tori A with an order-three automorphism t as in 1.1 are classified by the period domain Ω/G where*

$$\Omega = \{\mathbb{C} \cdot \omega \in \mathbb{P}(L_A) : \omega \wedge \omega = 0, \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} > 0\},$$

G is the group of orientation-preserving $\mathbb{Z}$ -isomorphisms $g : L_A \rightarrow L_A$ commuting with the t -action specified in 1.1.

Proof. Let (A_1, t_1) and (A_2, t_2) be two such complex tori with automorphisms. Fix isomorphisms $H_1(A_k, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^4$ such that t_k acts as in 1.1. An isomorphism $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ preserves the automorphism if

$$\phi \circ t_1 = t_2 \circ \phi.$$

It induces an isomorphism $\phi_* : \mathbb{Z}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^4$ commuting with t . So $\phi_* \in G$. It sends Ω to Ω and ω_1 to ω_2 . □

This group G can be described a little more explicitly: Indeed

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with A, B, C, D integral 2×2 -matrices belongs to G , if it is invertible, of determinant 1, and satisfies

$$AT = TA, \dots, DT = TD, \text{ with } T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And the set of 2×2 -matrices commuting with T consists of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra generated by the unit matrix $\mathbb{1}$ and T .

Theorem. *The moduli space Ω/G is connected, of dimension two.*

Proof. It suffices to show that Ω is connected. The intersection form on L_A has signature $(3, 1)$. Let us choose real coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_4$ on $L_A \otimes \mathbb{R}$ such that in these coordinates this form is

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3x_4.$$

Let $z_k = x_k + i \cdot y_k$ be the corresponding complex coordinates on $L_A \otimes \mathbb{C} = H^2(A, \mathbb{C})^{inv}$. If ω has the coordinates $(c_1, \dots, c_4)$, then

$$\omega \wedge \omega = c_1^2 + c_2^2 - c_3c_4 = 0.$$

Now, if $c_3 = 0$, then $c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 0$ too. The second period condition in this case is

$$\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} = |c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 > 0,$$

satisfied unless $\omega = (0, 0, 0, 1)$.

If $c_3 \neq 0$ we may assume $c_3 = 1$. Hence $\omega = (z_1, z_2, 1, z_1^2 + z_2^2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} &= |c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 - \Re(c_1^2 + c_2^2) \\ &= 2(\Im(c_1)^2 + \Im(c_2)^2) \\ &> 0\end{aligned}$$

unless $\Im(c_1) = \Im(c_2) = 0$. So $\Omega \cap \{c_3 \neq 0\}$ is just a copy of $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$, hence connected. And $\Omega \cap \{c_3 = 0\}$ lies in its boundary. $\square$

The condition $\omega \wedge \omega = 0$ defines a non-degenerate quadric in $\mathbb{P}_3 = \mathbb{P}(L_A \otimes \mathbb{C})$. It is not contained in any hyper-plane. So the open subset Ω of this quadric is not contained in a hyper-plane too.

Now let A (and X) be algebraic with $C \subset A$ the pull-back of some ample divisor on X . It determines a class $\gamma_C \in L_A$. Since

$$\gamma_C \wedge \omega \sim \int_C \omega = 0,$$

in this case the period ω lies in the hyper-plane $\gamma_C^\perp \subset L_A \otimes \mathbb{C}$. This proves

Theorem. *The general complex torus A with an order-three automorphism t as in 1.1 is not algebraic.*

There is also this converse: If a class $\gamma \in H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ has the property $\gamma \wedge \omega = 0$, then it is of type $(1, 1)$. Being integral it is the first chern class of a line bundle on A . For $\omega \in L_A \otimes \mathbb{C}$, in particular all classes γ in the two-dimensional lattice $L_A^\perp \subset H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$ have this property. This proves

Proposition. *For all complex tori A with an automorphism t as above, the group*

$$\text{Pic}(A)/\text{Pic}^0(A)$$

has rank at least two.

But beware: The lattice $L_A^\perp$ is negative definite. So all classes $\gamma \in L_A^\perp$ belong to line bundles on A , but if A is not algebraic, these line bundles do not come from divisors.

2.2 Polarizations on X

Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_4 \in L_X \subset H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ the basis from the corollary in 1.3. Each class $n_1\xi_1 + \dots + n_4\xi_4$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, has self-intersection

$$6n_1n_2 + 6(n_3^2 + n_3n_4) + 2n_4^2 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{6}.$$

Theorem. *There is no quartic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ with nine cusps and no other singularities.*

Proof. A general hyperplane section $C \subset X$ would define a divisor class $\xi = [C] \in J_X$ with self-intersection $4 \not\equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{6}$. $\square$

On the other hand, for each integer $d \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{6}$ there are K3-surfaces with nine cusps carrying a polarization of degree d : If $d \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, then put $n_1 = 1$, $n_3 = n_4 = 0$ and $n_2 = d/6$. If $d = 6k + 2$, then put $n_1 = n_4 = 1$, $n_3 = 0$ and $n_2 = (d - 2)/6$. In fact, [BL] explicitly describe all surfaces with polarization of degree two, and [BV] gave explicit examples of surfaces with polarizations of degree six.

2.3 Polarizations on A

Fix some class $\alpha \in L_A$ with $\alpha \wedge \alpha > 0$. It defines a hyper-plane $\alpha^\perp \subset L_A \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and a curve $\Omega_\alpha = \Omega \cap \alpha^\perp$. For all periods $\omega \in \Omega_\alpha$ the complex torus A defined by ω carries line-bundles of class α . Since $\alpha \wedge \alpha > 0$, these line-bundles are ample, and A is algebraic.

Proposition. *All these complex curves $\Omega_\alpha \subset \Omega$ consist of two connected components. In fact, they are a union of two copies of the complex upper half-plane.*

Proof. As $\alpha \wedge \alpha > 0$, the lattice $L_\alpha := L_A \cap \alpha^\perp$ has signature $(2, 1)$. So there are real coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3 of $L_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{R}$ in which the intersection form is $x_1^2 - x_2x_3$. Let z_k be the corresponding complex coordinates on $L_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Each $\omega \in \Omega_\alpha$ has coordinates

$$(c_1, c_2, c_3), \quad c_k \in \mathbb{C}$$

with

$$\omega \wedge \omega = c_1^2 - c_2c_3 = 0.$$

If $c_3 = 0$, then $c_1 = 0$ implies $\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} = 0$, a contradiction. So $c_3 \neq 0$. We may assume $c_3 = 1$ and $\omega = (c_1, c_1^2, 1)$.

Then

$$\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} = |c_1|^2 - \Re(c_1^2) = 2\Im(c_1)^2 > 0$$

unless $\Im(c_1) = 0$. It follows that $\Omega_\alpha = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. □

2.4 The classification problem

Of course, it would be nice to have a classification for the set of abelian surfaces with an automorphism t of order three as in section 1.1, together with a t -invariant polarization. Polarizations of degree $2d$ on abelian surfaces (without t) are classified by their elementary divisors

$$d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \quad d_1 | d_2, \quad 2 \cdot d_1 d_2 = 2d$$

in the sense that they belong to the same connected moduli space, if these elementary divisors coincide. However for fixed d_1, d_2 , not all pairs (A, t) admitting a polarization of type (d_1, d_2) are topologically equivalent. There is an obvious reason: If two polarizations, i.e. primitive vectors α and $\alpha' \in L_A$ with $\alpha^2 = (\alpha')^2 > 0$ are topologically equivalent, they are conjugate under the arithmetic group G from 2.1. In particular they must be conjugate under the orthogonal group $O(L_A)$. And then $\alpha \in L_A \subset \check{L}_A$ is primitive, if and only if α' is primitive in $\check{L}_A$.

It is easy to see that the vector

$$\alpha := \gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$$

of length $\alpha^2 = 6$ is primitive in $\check{L}_A$, while the vector

$$\alpha' = \gamma_3 + \gamma_4$$

of the same length 6 is not. So the polarizations α and α' are not topologically equivalent. (In fact, by 1.3, the polarization α' descends to the quotient $X = A/t$, while the t -invariant polarization α does not do this).

The real classification problem is this:

Problem: Classify the G -orbits on the sets of primitive vectors $\alpha \in L_A$ of the same length $\alpha^2 > 0$ and primitive / not primitive in $\check{L}_A$.

I expect the groups G and $O(L_A)$ to be more or less the same, but I have no idea, whether they act transitively or not on primitive vectors $\alpha \in L_A$ of the same length, primitive, resp. not primitive in $\check{L}_A$.

Each abelian surface (= algebraic torus) A with automorphism t has Picard number three. Let me give examples of simple abelian surfaces A with automorphism t

To do this, I have to identify the orthogonal complement $L_A^\perp \subset H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})$:

Proposition. The lattice $L_A^\perp$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis δ_1, δ_2 in which the quadratic form is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Recall the basis $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ from section 1.1. Put

$$\delta_1 := \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 - \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2, \quad \delta_2 = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 + \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2.$$

One easily checks that these classes are orthogonal to the basis $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_4$ of L_A from section 1.2, and

$$\delta_1 \wedge \delta_1 = \delta_2 \wedge \delta_2 = -2, \quad \delta_1 \wedge \delta_2 = 1.$$

So δ_1 and δ_2 span a sublattice of $L_A^\perp$ in which the form has the matrix above. Its discriminant is 3. This sublattice therefore is primitive, and must coincide with $L_A^\perp$. $\square$

The essential observation is: This lattice does not represent $-12 \cdot n^2$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume there are $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$(k\delta_1 + l\delta_2)^2 = -2(k^2 + l^2 - kl) = -12n^2.$$

We solve the quadratic equation

$$k^2 - kl + l^2 - 6n^2 = 0$$

for k to find the two solutions

$$k_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(l \pm \sqrt{24n^2 - 3l^2}).$$

This shows

$$24n^2 - 3l^2 = (2k_{1,2} + l)^2 = w^2$$

is a square. Obviously 3 divides w , so write $w = 3w'$ and

$$8n^2 - l^2 = 3(w')^2.$$

If neither n nor l are divisible by three, we find

$$8n^2 \equiv 2, \quad l^2 \equiv 1 \quad \text{modulo } 3,$$

impossible. So $n = 3n'$ and $l = 3l'$. But this leads to

$$24(n')^2 - 3(l')^2 = (w')^2,$$

the original equation. As we may repeat this argument infinitely often, this is a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition. *There are abelian surfaces with an automorphism t as above, and not carrying elliptic curves.*

Proof. Recall the basis $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_4 \in L_A$ from section 1.2. Clearly

$$\gamma := -\gamma_1 + 6\gamma_2 + 2(\gamma_3 + \gamma_4)$$

is a primitive vector of length 12. Let A be a surface carrying a polarization with class γ , and with Neron-Severi group of rank three. Then this Neron-Severi group is spanned by γ, δ_1 and δ_2 . If it would carry elliptic curves, there would be a class $n\gamma + k\delta_1 + l\delta_2$ of length

$$12n^2 - 2(k^2 - kl + l^2) = 0,$$

in conflict with the observation above. $\square$

3 Appendix: Quartic surfaces with eight cusps

In this section I compute the equations of those quartic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}_3(\mathbb{C})$, which are the projections of the sextic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}_4$ from [BV] out of one of their nine cusps. Then I show by direct computation, that these projected surfaces have precisely eight cusps, and no further singularities.

So recall the sextic surfaces from [BV]. On p.141 they are presented as a complete intersection of a hyper plane P , a quadric Q and a cubic $C \subset \mathbb{P}_5$ with

$$\begin{aligned} P : & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6 = 0, \\ Q : & (1+k)(x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3) + (1-k)(x_4x_5 + x_4x_6 + x_5x_6) = 0, \\ C : & (1+k)^2x_1x_2x_3 + (1-k)^2x_4x_5x_6 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $k \neq 0$ is a complex parameter. The nine cusps of these surfaces are the points

$$(1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0) \quad \text{etc.}$$

with one coordinate x_1, x_2 or x_3 equal to 1 and one coordinate x_4, x_5 or x_6 equal to -1 .

I fix the cusp $(1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0)$ and project from it onto the 3-plane

$$x_1 = x_4 = -\frac{1}{2}(x_2 + x_3 + x_5 + x_6) =: \sigma.$$

the rays of projection are parametrized by

$$(\lambda + \mu s : \mu x_2 : \mu x_3 : -\lambda + \mu \sigma : \mu x_5 : \mu x_6) \quad \text{with} \quad (\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}_1.$$

On this ray the equation of Q restricts to

$$\lambda[(1+k)(x_2+x_3) - (1-k)(x_5+x_6)] + \mu[(1+k)(\sigma(x_2+x_3) + x_2x_3) + (1-k)(\sigma(x_5+x_6) + x_5x_6)] = 0$$

and the equation of C becomes

$$\lambda[(1+k)^2x_2x_3 - (1-k)^2x_5x_6] + \mu \cdot \sigma[(1+k)^2x_2x_3 + (1-k)^2x_5x_6] = 0.$$

Eliminating $(\lambda : \mu)$ from these two equations, and replacing the coordinates x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 by x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 leads to the equation

$$(1+k)^3x_0^2x_1^2 + 2k(1-k^2)x_0x_1x_2x_3 - (1-k)^3x_2^2x_3^2 + (1-k^2)(x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3)[(1-k)x_2x_3(x_0 + x_1) - (1+k)x_0x_1(x_2 + x_3)] = 0$$

for the projected surface.

The eight nodes of the sextic surface, different from the center of projection, go onto the four coordinate vertices

$$(1 : 0 : 0 : 0), \dots, (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$$

and the four points

$$(1 : 0 : -1 : 0), (1 : 0 : 0 : -1), (0 : 1 : -1 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0 : -1)$$

on the coordinate lines in the plane $x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$.

The center of projection blows up to the pair of lines

$$\begin{aligned} (1+k)x_0 - (1-k)x_2 &= (1+k)x_1 - (1-k)x_3 = 0 \\ (1+k)x_0 - (1-k)x_3 &= (1+k)x_1 - (1-k)x_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

on the projected surface.

The projected surfaces have the obvious $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -symmetry

$$x_0 \leftrightarrow x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 \leftrightarrow x_3,$$

while the symmetry

$$(x_0, x_1) \leftrightarrow (x_2, x_3), \quad k \leftrightarrow (-k)$$

interchanges two surfaces in the family (if $k \neq 0$).

Claim. *For $k \neq \pm 1$ the quartic surfaces have no other singularities than the eight ones specified.*

To have some (not very big) computational advantages, let me pass to the coordinates

$$y_0 = (1+k)x_0, \quad y_1 = (1+k)x_1, \quad y_2 = (1-k)x_2, \quad y_3 = (1-k)x_3,$$

in which the equation (multiplied by $(1-k^2)$) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= (1-k)y_0^2y_1^2 + 2ky_0y_1y_2y_3 - (1+k)y_2^2y_3^2 + \\ &\quad ((1-k)(y_0 + y_1) + (1+k)(y_2 + y_3))((y_0 + y_1)y_2y_3 - (y_2 + y_3)y_0y_1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have to compute the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 f &= 2(1-k)y_0y_1^2 + 2ky_1y_2y_3 + (1-k)((y_0 + y_1)y_2y_3 - (y_2 + y_3)y_0y_1) + \\ &\quad ((1-k)(y_0 + y_1) + (1+k)(y_2 + y_3))(y_2y_3 - (y_2 + y_3)y_1) \end{aligned}$$

To take advantage of the symmetries, let me abbreviate

$$s := y_0 + y_1, t := y_2 + y_3, p := y_0 y_1, q := y_2 y_3.$$

Then

$$\partial_0 f = 2((1-k)p + kq)y_1 + (1-k)(sq - tp) + ((1-k)s + (1+k)t)(q - ty_1),$$

and by the symmetries

$$\partial_1 f = 2((1-k)p + kq)y_0 + (1-k)(sq - tp) + ((1-k)s + (1+k)t)(q - ty_0).$$

The difference of these two derivatives is

$$\partial_0 f - \partial_1 f = (y_1 - y_0) \cdot (2(1-k)p + 2kq - ((1-k)s + (1+k)t)t).$$

So, in a singularity, either

$$y_0 = y_1,$$

or

$$((1-k)s + (1+k)t)t = 2(1-k)p + 2kq.$$

Inserting the latter in the expression for $\partial_0 f$ leads to

$$\partial_0 f = 2(1-k)sq - (1-k)tp + (1+k)tq.$$

Using the symmetry $(x_0, x_1, k) \rightarrow (x_2, x_3, -k)$ we find: At a singular point

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either } x_0 = x_1 \quad \text{or} \quad & -(1-k)t \cdot p + (2(1-k)s + (1+k)t) \cdot q = 0, \\ & 2(1-k) \cdot p + 2k \cdot q = ((1-k)s + (1+k)t)t, \\ \text{either } x_2 = x_3 \quad \text{or} \quad & (2(1+k)t + (1-k)s) \cdot p - (1+k)s \cdot q = 0, \\ & -2k \cdot p + 2(1+k) \cdot q = ((1+k)t + (1-k)s)s. \end{aligned}$$

The two homogeneous equations for p and q form a system with determinant

$$D = (1-k^2)st - (2(1-k)s + (1+k)t)(2(1+k)t + (1-k)s) = -2((1-k)s + (1+k)t)^2.$$

So, if they hold, either

$$\frac{s}{1+k} + \frac{t}{1-k} = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0,$$

or $p = q = 0$. In this case the two inhomogeneous equations for p and q show

$$((1-k)s + (1+k)t) \cdot t = ((1-k)s + (1+k)t) \cdot s = 0.$$

And this again implies $x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$.

So, if a surface for $k \neq \pm 1$ is singular at $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3)$, then we are in one of the following cases:

Case I: $x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$. But then

$$\frac{\partial_0 f - \partial_1 f}{2(y_1 - y_0)} = (1-k)p + kq = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial_2 f - \partial_3 f}{2(y_3 - y_2)} = -kp + (1+k)q = 0.$$

This system for p and q has determinant

$$(1 - k^2) + k^2 = 1,$$

showing $p = q = 0$. So x is one of the four points

$$(1 : 0 : -1 : 0), (1 : 0 : 0 : -1), (0 : 1 : -1 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0 : -1).$$

Case II: $x_0 = x_1$, hence $y_0 = y_1 =: y$, but $x_2 \neq x_3$. Then there still are the two equations

$$\begin{aligned} (2(1+k)t + 2(1-k)y) \cdot y^2 - 2(1+k)y \cdot q &= 0, \\ -2k \cdot y^2 + 2(1+k) \cdot q &= ((1+k)t + (1-k)2y) \cdot 2y \end{aligned}$$

from the four equations above. Unless $y = 0$ this leads to the two quadratic equations

$$\begin{aligned} (1-k) \cdot y^2 + (1+k)t \cdot y - (1+k)q &= 0, \\ (k-2) \cdot y^2 - (1+k)t \cdot y + (1+k)q &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Adding both equations we see $y = 0$. And if $y = 0$, the second equation shows $q = 0$. The point in question is one of the four coordinate vertices.

Case III: $x_2 = x_3$, but $x_0 \neq x_1$. This leads to a coordinate vertex, just like case II.

Case IV: $x_0 = x_1$ and $x_2 = x_3$, hence $y_0 = y_1 =: y$ and $y_2 = y_3 =: z$. Then

$$\partial_0 f = 2(1-k)y^3 + 2kyz^2 + (1-k)(2yz^2 - 2y^2z) + 2((1-k)y + (1+k)z)(z^2 - 2yz) = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_0 f = (1-k)y^3 - 3(1-k)y^2z - 3kyz^2 + (1+k)z^3 = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_2 f = (1-k)y^3 + 3ky^2z - 3(1+k)yz^2 + (1+k)z^3 = 0.$$

The difference of both these equations is

$$3yz \cdot (z - y) = 0.$$

Now $yz = 0$ leads to the contradiction $y = z = 0$. And for $y = z$ both equations become $-y^3 = 0$, again a contradiction.

This proves the claim. □

Next I want to show that the eight singularities indeed are cusps. To recognize them as cusps, I use the recognition principle from [BW]. In proper coordinates u_1, u_2, u_3 one has to assign weights

$$wt(u_1) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad wt(u_2) = wt(u_3) = \frac{1}{2}$$

to these coordinates, and to show:

- The Taylor expansion of the equation of the surface at the a singularity contains only monomials of weight ≥ 1 ;
- the weight-1 part of the expansion is $u_1^3 + u_2u_3$.

Let me call this recognition principle SQH-criterion.

Claim: For $k \neq \pm 1$ the coordinate vertices are cusps on our surfaces.

By the symmetries, it suffices to consider the point $(1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$. We consider

$$\begin{aligned} f(1, y_1, y_2, y_3) &= (1-k)y_1^2 + 2ky_1y_2y_3 - (1+k)y_2^2y_3^2 + \\ &\quad ((1-k)(1+y_1) + (1+k)((y_2+y_3))((1+y_1)y_2y_3 - (y_2+y_3)y_1)) \\ &= (1-k)(y_1^2 + y_2y_3 - y_1(y_2+y_3)) + \\ &\quad \text{terms of order } \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

So the second-order term splits as

$$(k-1)(y_1 - y_2)(y_1 - y_3).$$

Now we substitute

$$u_1 := y_2 + y_3, \quad u_2 := y_1 - y_2, \quad u_3 := y_1 - y_3.$$

assigning to the new variables the weights

$$wt(u_1) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad wt(u_2) = wt(u_3) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We have to collect all terms in the Taylor expansion of f of weight ≤ 1 . Since all coordinates y_k have weight $\geq 1/3$, all fourth order monomials in y_1, y_2, y_3 will have weight > 1 . It suffices therefore to consider the third order term

$$\begin{aligned} &2ky_1y_2y_3 + (1-k)y_1y_2y_3 + (1-k)y_1(y_2y_3 - (y_2+y_3)y_1) + \\ &\quad (1+k)(y_2+y_3)(y_2y_3 - (y_2+y_3)y_1) \\ &= 2y_1y_2y_3 - (1-k)y_1^2(y_2+y_3) - (1+k)y_1(y_2+y_3)^2 + (1+k)(y_2+y_3)y_2y_3 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1-k}{4} - \frac{1+k}{2} + \frac{1+k}{4}\right)u_1^3 \\ &\quad + \text{terms of weight } > 1 \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}u_1^3 \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The term of weight 1 in the Taylor-expansion is

$$(k-1)u_2u_3 - \frac{1}{4}u_1^3.$$

By [BW], indeed the singularity is a cusp.

Claim: For $k \neq \pm 1$ the other four singularities have type A_2 too.

By the symmetries, it suffices to consider the singularity $(1 : 0 : -1 : 0)$ only. We use the coordinates x_0, y_1, x_2, y_3 to write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0, y_1, x_2, y_3) &= (1+k)x_0^2y_1^2 + 2kx_0x_2y_1y_3 - (1-k)x_2^2y_3^2 + \\ &\quad ((1-k^2)(x_0+x_2) + (1-k)y_1 + (1+k)y_3) \cdot \\ &\quad (x_0x_2(y_3-y_1) + x_2\frac{y_1y_3}{1+k} - x_0\frac{y_1y_3}{1-k}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute

$$x_0 = u + v, \quad x_2 = u - v$$

and write f in the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, v, y_1, y_3) &= (1+k)(u+v)^2 y_1^2 + 2k(u^2 - v^2) y_1 y_3 - (1-k)(u-v)^2 y_3^2 + \\ &\quad (2(1-k^2)u + (1-k)y_1 + (1+k)y_3) \cdot \\ &\quad ((u^2 - v^2)(y_3 - y_1) + (u-v) \frac{y_1 y_3}{1+k} - (u+v) \frac{y_1 y_3}{1-k}). \end{aligned}$$

The Taylor-expansion at the singularity is

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, 1, y_1, y_3) &= (1+k)(u+1)^2 y_1^2 + 2k(u^2 - 1) y_1 y_3 - (1-k)(u-1)^2 y_3^2 + \\ &\quad (2(1-k^2)u + (1-k)y_1 + (1+k)y_3) \cdot \\ &\quad ((u^2 - 1)(y_3 - y_1) + (u-1) \frac{y_1 y_3}{1+k} - (u+1) \frac{y_1 y_3}{1-k}). \\ &= (1+k)y_1^2 - 2k y_1 y_3 - (1-k)y_3^2 + \\ &\quad (2(1-k^2)u + y_1 + y_3 - k(y_1 - y_3)) \cdot (y_1 - y_3) + \\ &\quad \text{terms of order } \geq 2 \\ &= y_1^2 - y_3^2 + (2(1-k^2)u + y_1 + y_3)(y_1 - y_3) \\ &= 2(y_1 - y_3)((1-k^2)u + y_1 + y_2). \end{aligned}$$

We substitute

$$z_1 := (1-k^2)u + y_1 + y_3, \quad z_2 = y_1 - y_3$$

and consider the third-order term of the Taylor expansion

$$2(1+k)u y_1^2 + 2(1-k)u y_3^2 + (z_1 + (1-k^2)u - k z_2) \cdot \left(-\frac{2}{1-k^2} y_1 y_3\right).$$

We assign to the variables z_1, z_2, u the following weights

$$wt(z_1) = wt(z_2) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad wt(u) = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Since each monomial of degree four then has weight > 1 , it suffices indeed to treat the third order term only. Its part of weight 1 is

$$\begin{aligned} &2u((1+k) \frac{(1-k^2)^2}{4} u^2 + (1-k) \frac{(1-k^2)^2}{4} u^2) - (1-k^2)u \cdot \frac{2}{1-k^2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} (1-k^2)^2 u^2 \\ &= 2u \cdot \frac{(1-k^2)^2}{2} u^2 - u \frac{(1-k^2)^2}{2} u^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1-k^2)^2 u^3 \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The weight-1 part of f is

$$z_1 z_2 - \frac{1}{4} (1-k^2)^2 u^2,$$

and again the SQH-criterion [BW] shows that the singularity is a cusp.

4 References

- [B] Barth, W.: K3-surfaces with nine cusps, to appear in Geom. Dedic.
- [BL] Birkenhake C., Lange, H.: A family of abelian surfaces and curves of genus four. *manuscr. math.* 85, 393-407 (1994)
- [BV] Bertin, J., Vanhaecke, P.: The even master system and generalized Kummer surfaces. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 116, 131-142 (1994)
- [BW] Bruce, J.W., Wall C.T.C.: On the classification of cubic surfaces. *J. London Math. Soc.* 19, 245-249 (1979)
- [N1] Nikulin, V.V.: On Kummer surfaces. *Math. USSR Izv.* 9, No 2, 261-275 (1975)
- [N2] Nikulin, V.V.: Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications. *Mat. Ussr. Izv.* 14, No 1, 103-167 (1980)
- [U] Urabe, T.: Elementary transformations of Dynkin graphs and singularities on quartic surfaces. *Invent. math.* 87, 549-572 (1987)